

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Mon, Jun 3, 2013 at 8:40 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013 ORAJ 3614

Manuscript number: 2013 OR 3614

Manuscript title: Reliability and Comparison of Corneal Reflex Measurement with Plusoptix S08 in Nonstrabismic Amblyopic Children

Author details: Goktug Demirci¹ M.D., Istanbul, Turkey

Demirci et al present the first research examining the corneal light reflex reliability of a Plusoptix S08 photo-screener and, in light of our results, the corneal reflex value of the Plusoptix S08 is not a reliable tool in screening for amblyogenic risk factors in non-strabismic children. Moghaddem et al (14) also found out that The Plusoptix is a useful objective screening instrument, but still has low specificity for detecting amblyopia risk factors in the pediatric population. I agree with 2 reviewers (Drs Amitava and Matta) for publishing the paper.

I noted 2 small mistakes that need correction as follows:

16- Strauss RW, Ehrh O.Klin Monbl Augenheilkd. Detection of amlyogenic risk factors with the vision screener S04. 2010 ;227:798-803. Should be 16- Strauss RW, Ehrh O. Detection of amlyogenic risk factors with the vision screener S04. Klin Monbl Augenheilkd . 2010 ;227:798-803.

Line 9 page 4: Although assessing the magnitude of amblyopia is difficult in pre-literate children, it is important to include such children in studies of amblyopia therapy, because early invention can be effective [24-27]. SHOULD BE INTERVENTION

Editor's Details:

Prof. Ahmad M Mansour

Department of Ophthalmology, American University of Beirut, Lebanon

Note: Modification was done in this email ONLY to hide the identity.